

Chapter 6

Long-Term Effects of Court and Conference

This Chapter reports on questions asked of offenders in a **second wave** of interviewing carried out about two years after the finalisation of their treatment by court or by conference. Inevitably the response rate was somewhat lower than in the first wave conducted immediately after treatment, almost always because of problems in locating respondents: almost no one who had been interviewed at the time of the first wave refused to be interviewed on this second occasion. Response rates were as follows:

Experiment	Court	Conference
Drink driving	66.4%	73.3%
Juvenile Personal Property	56.6%	66.1%
Juvenile Shoplifting	65.2%	55.8%
Youth Violence	47.5%	61.3%

Drink Driving

Offenders were asked about events that may have occurred in their lives in the two years following their treatment. These included education, employment, health, homelessness and relationship changes. There was little difference between the court and conference respondents on any of these measures except for education: a somewhat higher percentage of the court group had attended school in the preceding year but a significantly higher percentage had dropped out of full time study in that period.

When asked about procedural justice and reintegrative aspects of their experience, significantly more of the conference group than the court group said that it had been consistent and impartial. Just under half of both groups rated their outcome as severe, while significantly more of the conference offenders felt they had been allowed to repay society through their treatment, to clear their conscience and generally be able to make up for their actions. There was no difference between them, however, in terms of stigmatic shaming with very small percentages feeling they had been stigmatised during their treatment.

There were differences between the groups on both perceptions of forgiveness and in feeling of anger about the way they had been treated, with significantly more of the conference group feeling they had been forgiven and significantly fewer of them feeling bitter and angry. Few people in either group felt defiant in reaction to their treatment.

Significantly more of the conference group than the court group said they felt the influence of their family and friends in controlling their future offending and their conference appeared often to be an opportunity to learn that there were people who cared about them. Around two-thirds of them felt that as a result of their treatment their friends' behaviour would also be influenced for the better compared with less than half of the court group. Likewise, significantly more of the conference group said that both court and another conference would be a problem for them if they reoffended, and that the conference would both help to prevent their reoffending and to obey the law generally.

Juvenile Personal Property

There was little difference between the groups in the prevalence of various life events over the year preceding the second wave interview, either in health, education, homelessness, employment or relationships. A relatively high proportion – about a quarter - of both groups had suffered serious illness or injury in that period and about a quarter had dropped out of school. Almost half had changed jobs while around half were currently unemployed. About a third had started new romantic relationships and a similar proportion reported serious relationship difficulties. About one third said that someone close to them had died in this period and around a quarter had been homeless at some point in their lives. Overall in both groups offenders had experienced instability and some hardship.

In terms of procedural justice and reintegration measures, about half of both groups said that their treatment had been consistent but significantly more of the court group – over half compared with about a quarter of the conference group - said it had been impartial. But two thirds of the conference groups said that the treatment had had the restorative effect of allowing them to repay both their victim and society in general, compared with less than half of the court group. Only small percentages of either group felt that their experience had been stigmatising while about two thirds of both felt their treatment would help prevent future offending.

Most people in both groups said they felt they had been forgiven for their offence. Around a quarter felt angry or bitter about their experience but few in either group felt defiant about it. Likewise, a high percentage – around 90 percent - of both groups indicated that they were loved and cared about by their family. Significantly more of the court group felt that court would be a problem if they reoffended; around two-thirds of both groups felt that a conference would be a problem. About two-thirds of both groups thought that their treatment would help prevent their reoffending.

Juvenile Shoplifting

Both groups were similar in their experience of various life events over the preceding year for health, education, employment, homelessness and relationships. About a third had dropped out of schooling and more than a third had changed jobs. About a third had started new romantic relationships and many reported serious relationship difficulties. Around a third reported that someone close to them had died. Over half were currently unemployed and more than a quarter said they had been homeless at some point. These offenders also indicated great instability and hardship in their lives.

On procedural justice and reintegrative measures, around half of both groups felt their treatment had been consistent and impartial. Significantly more of the conference group than the court group felt their treatment allowed them to repay both their victim and society and to clear their conscience. Importantly, significantly more of the conference group than the court group felt that the outcome from their treatment had been severe. In other respects the groups were similar in their perceptions of their treatment.

There was little difference between the groups in their perceptions of stigmatic shaming with around a quarter of both groups saying that they had been stigmatised during their treatment. Significantly more of the conference group felt they had been forgiven for their actions. Few in either group expressed anger or bitterness about the way they had

been treated and very few expressed defiance about it. A high percentage of both groups said they were loved and cared about by their family.

The groups were very similar in their perceptions of the deterrent effect of their treatment, though a higher proportion of both groups were more concerned about the prospect of court than of a conference. About two-thirds of both groups thought their treatment would help prevent their reoffending.

Youth Violence

The court and conference groups were remarkably similar in the life events they had experienced over the preceding year. Around a half had dropped out of full time study during that period; between a third and a half had changed jobs, a high proportion of those having been fired or laid off, while about a half were currently unemployed. Both indicated great instability in their personal relationships and almost a half reported that someone close to them had died. It appeared that the court group had had more experience of homelessness than the conference group both in general and in the preceding year.

On procedural justice and reintegrative shaming measures, there was no significant difference between the groups in their perceptions of the consistency or impartiality of the treatment. Significantly more of the conference group than the court group said that their treatment allowed them to repay both their victim and society and to make up for what they did. Significantly more of the conference group than the court group said their friends felt their offence was wrong. The court group was more inclined to see their treatment as stigmatising: twice as many said they were treated as if they would reoffend and significantly more said that people had made negative judgements about them. There was no difference between the groups in their perceptions of forgiveness, nor in their feelings of anger about their treatment or their feelings of defiance.

The groups were also similar in their perceptions of their family relationships but a significantly higher percentage of the conference group said that the treatment had shown them that there were people who cared about them. They were also similar in their views about the deterrence value of their treatment, with about half of both groups saying that their treatment would help prevent their reoffending, though significantly more of the conference group than the court group thought that a conference would be a problem for them if they did reoffend.

Table 6.1: Drink Driving, Prevalence of Life Events, court vs. conference

	<i>Court</i>		<i>Conference</i>		<i>Sig</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	
Serious illness or injury in the past year	299	14.7%	329	14.9%	0.950
Attended school during the past year	299	25.8%	327	19.0%	0.042

Graduated from full time study in the past year	88	15.9%	67	13.4%	0.670
Dropped out of full time study in the past year	76	10.5%	56	1.8%	0.030
Changed jobs during the past year	299	38.1%	329	35.9%	0.558
Retired or resigned from job in the past year	299	22.7%	329	24.0%	0.708
Fired or laid off from a job in the past year	299	8.4%	329	7.6%	0.725
Significant financial pressures in the past year	299	45.5%	329	43.2%	0.559
Married or new romance in the past year	299	23.1%	329	21.3%	0.588
Divorced or broke off romance in the past year	299	17.1%	329	17.6%	0.850
Serious troubles with others in the past year	299	22.4%	329	23.4%	0.767
Someone close to me died in the past year	299	23.1%	329	22.8%	0.934
I or my partner became pregnant in the past year	299	7.7%	329	10.9%	0.161
Unemployed during the past 6 months	297	8.1%	313	7.0%	0.624
Currently unemployed	299	19.4%	330	16.7%	0.374
Have been homeless at any point in the past	299	7.7%	329	8.8%	0.611
Have been homeless in the past year	299	1.0%	327	1.5%	0.559
Homeless when the police arrested me	299	0.0%	327	0.9%	0.083
Had been homeless before being arrested	299	6.4%	327	7.3%	0.627

Table 6.2: Juvenile Personal Property, Prevalence of Life Events, court vs. conference

	<i>Court</i>		<i>Conference</i>		<i>Sig</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	
Serious illness or injury in the past year	69	17.4%	84	28.6%	0.100
Attended school during the past year	69	75.4%	83	71.1%	0.557
Graduated from full time study in the past year	51	27.5%	65	23.1%	0.593
Dropped out of full time study in the past year	41	24.4%	53	22.6%	0.845
Changed jobs during the past year	69	47.8%	84	46.4%	0.864
Retired or resigned from job in the past year	69	15.9%	84	27.4%	0.085
Fired or laid off from a job in the past year	69	17.4%	84	10.7%	0.245
Significant financial pressures in the past year	69	49.3%	84	40.5%	0.279
Married or new romance in the past year	69	27.5%	84	35.7%	0.280
Divorced or broke off romance in the past year	69	15.9%	84	16.7%	0.905
Serious troubles with others in the past year	69	39.1%	84	29.8%	0.229
Someone close to me died in the past year	69	37.7%	84	23.8%	0.067
I or my partner became pregnant in the past year	69	10.1%	84	7.1%	0.511
Unemployed during the past 6 months	65	16.9%	76	22.4%	0.423
Currently unemployed	69	44.9%	84	58.3%	0.100
Have been homeless at any point in the past	69	18.8%	84	28.6%	0.158
Have been homeless in the past year	69	2.9%	84	11.9%	0.030
Homeless when the police arrested me	69	10.1%	84	8.3%	0.701
Had been homeless before being arrested	69	13.0%	84	17.9%	0.419

Table 6.3: Juvenile Shoplifting, Prevalence of Life Events, court vs. conference

	<i>Court</i>		<i>Conference</i>		<i>Sig</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	
Serious illness or injury in the past year	43	20.9%	43	16.3%	0.585
Attended school during the past year	43	67.4%	43	69.8%	0.819
Graduated from full time study in the past year	28	25.0%	30	40.0%	0.229
Dropped out of full time study in the past year	21	38.1%	22	22.7%	0.286
Changed jobs during the past year	43	39.5%	42	47.6%	0.458
Retired or resigned from job in the past year	43	27.9%	43	20.9%	0.457

Fired or laid off from a job in the past year	43	11.6%	43	16.3%	0.539
Significant financial pressures in the past year	43	46.5%	43	23.3%	0.024
Married or new romance in the past year	43	41.9%	43	32.6%	0.378
Divorced or broke off romance in the past year	43	25.6%	43	18.6%	0.441
Serious troubles with others in the past year	43	60.5%	43	25.6%	0.001
Someone close to me died in the past year	43	37.2%	43	25.6%	0.250
I or my partner became pregnant in the past year	43	14.0%	43	7.0%	0.296
Unemployed during the past 6 months	39	28.2%	42	14.3%	0.131
Currently unemployed	43	60.5%	43	53.5%	0.519
Have been homeless at any point in the past	43	30.2%	43	25.6%	0.635
Have been homeless in the past year	43	11.6%	43	9.3%	0.728
Homeless when the police arrested me	43	7.0%	43	7.0%	0.999
Had been homeless before being arrested	43	18.6%	43	16.3%	0.779

Table 6.4: Youth Violence, Prevalence of Life Events, court vs. conference

	<i>Court</i>		<i>Conference</i>		<i>Sig</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	
Serious illness or injury in the past year	28	17.9%	38	21.1%	0.752
Attended school during the past year	28	71.4%	38	55.3%	0.181
Graduated from full time study in the past year	20	20.0%	22	18.2%	0.884
Dropped out of full time study in the past year	17	58.8%	18	38.9%	0.251
Changed jobs during the past year	28	53.6%	37	29.7%	0.057
Retired or resigned from job in the past year	28	21.4%	38	21.1%	0.971
Fired or laid off from a job in the past year	28	32.1%	38	18.4%	0.219
Significant financial pressures in the past year	28	53.6%	38	39.5%	0.262
Married or new romance in the past year	28	28.6%	38	23.7%	0.660
Divorced or broke off romance in the past year	28	39.3%	38	21.1%	0.120
Serious troubles with others in the past year	28	42.9%	38	21.1%	0.067
Someone close to me died in the past year	28	46.4%	38	36.8%	0.441
I or my partner became pregnant in the past year	28	10.7%	38	13.2%	0.768
Unemployed during the past year	27	25.9%	36	30.6%	0.693
Currently unemployed	28	46.4%	38	60.5%	0.262
Have been homeless at any point in the past	28	39.3%	38	15.8%	0.040
Have been homeless in the past year	28	25.0%	38	7.9%	0.077
Homeless when the police arrested me	28	7.1%	38	2.6%	0.392
Had been homeless before being arrested	28	17.9%	38	10.5%	0.399

Table 6.5: Drink Driving, Perceived Justice Measures

	<i>Court</i>		<i>Conference</i>		<i>Sig</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	
*Consistency					
Offenders with same offence treated the same	294	42.2%	317	53.6%	0.005
*Impartiality					
Felt treated no better or worse than others	288	47.2%	304	25.0%	0.000
*Retributive Justice					
The outcome from my treatment was severe	293	46.8%	322	41.0%	0.151
*Restorative Justice					
The treatment allowed me to repay society	293	40.3%	320	72.2%	0.000

Table 6.6: Juvenile Personal Property, Perceived Justice Measures

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
*Consistency					
Offenders with same offence treated the same	64	53.1%	70	51.4%	0.846
*Impartiality					
Felt treated no better or worse than others	62	53.2%	76	27.6%	0.002
*Retributive Justice					
The outcome from my treatment was severe	63	33.3%	70	34.3%	0.909
*Restorative Justice					
The treatment allowed me to repay society	63	49.2%	70	68.6%	0.024
The treatment allowed me to repay victim	63	46.0%	70	67.1%	0.014

Table 6.7: Juvenile Shoplifting, Perceived Justice Measures

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
*Consistency					
Offenders with same offence treated the same	42	47.6%	41	68.3%	0.057
*Impartiality					
Felt treated no better or worse than others	42	57.1%	40	40.0%	0.124
*Retributive Justice					
The outcome from my treatment was severe	42	9.5%	41	26.8%	0.042
*Restorative Justice					
The treatment allowed me to repay society	42	28.6%	41	78.0%	0.000
The treatment allowed me to repay victim	41	36.6%	41	61.0%	0.027

Table 6.8: Youth Violence, Perceived Justice Measures

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
*Consistency					
Offenders with same offence treated the same	27	37.0%	36	41.7%	0.716
*Impartiality					
Felt treated no better or worse than others	26	30.8%	35	22.9%	0.495
*Retributive Justice					
The outcome from my treatment was severe	27	22.2%	35	17.1%	0.623
*Restorative Justice					
The treatment allowed me to repay society	27	25.9%	36	50.0%	0.050
The treatment allowed me to repay victim	27	14.8%	36	55.6%	0.000

Table 6.9: Drink Driving, Perceived Reintegrative Shaming

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
I now feel my offence was wrong	298	94.3%	328	96.0%	0.313
My friends feel my offence was wrong	298	84.9%	327	90.2%	0.045
My family was hurt by the offense	299	59.9%	327	63.0%	0.422
I was able to make up for what I did	293	39.6%	321	72.3%	0.000
I was able to clear my conscience	293	27.0%	321	48.3%	0.000
People said it was not like me to offend	293	38.9%	319	47.0%	0.043
People said I can put the offence behind me	292	54.8%	321	60.4%	0.159
People accept me as basically law-abiding	294	80.3%	321	85.4%	0.096
People noted aspects of me they like	292	54.5%	320	52.2%	0.576

I have been treated as a trustworthy person	292	91.4%	321	93.8%	0.273
My family has given me more support	286	28.3%	316	30.7%	0.525
My friends have give me more support	294	27.6%	320	29.4%	0.618

Table 6.10: Juvenile Personal Property, Perceived Reintegrative Shaming

	<i>Court</i>		<i>Conference</i>		<i>Sig</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	
I now feel my offence was wrong	68	92.6%	83	88.0%	0.341
My friends feel my offence was wrong	66	63.6%	82	61.0%	0.742
My family was hurt by the offense	68	89.7%	83	84.3%	0.336
I was able to make up for what I did	63	44.4%	70	65.7%	0.014
I was able to clear my conscience	63	41.3%	70	57.1%	0.068
People said it was not like me to offend	63	57.1%	70	55.7%	0.869
People said I can put the offence behind me	63	65.1%	70	82.9%	0.020
People accept me as basically law-abiding	63	46.0%	70	62.9%	0.052
People noted aspects of me they like	63	55.6%	70	55.7%	0.985
I have been treated as a trustworthy person	63	61.9%	70	71.4%	0.249
My family has given me more support	64	53.1%	70	47.1%	0.493
My friends have give me more support	62	40.3%	68	33.8%	0.447

Table 6.11: Juvenile Shoplifting, Perceived Reintegrative Shaming

	<i>Court</i>		<i>Conference</i>		<i>Sig</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	
I now feel my offence was wrong	43	90.7%	43	95.3%	0.403
My friends feel my offence was wrong	43	67.4%	43	67.4%	0.999
My family was hurt by the offense	43	74.4%	43	86.0%	0.180
I was able to make up for what I did	42	42.9%	41	70.7%	0.010
I was able to clear my conscience	42	40.5%	41	48.8%	0.453
People said it was not like me to offend	42	40.5%	41	61.0%	0.063
People said I can put the offence behind me	42	61.9%	41	80.5%	0.063
People accept me as basically law-abiding	42	52.4%	41	56.1%	0.738
People noted aspects of me they like	42	52.4%	41	68.3%	0.142
I have been treated as a trustworthy person	42	69.0%	41	78.0%	0.359
My family has given me more support	41	46.3%	40	45.0%	0.905
My friends have give me more support	41	26.8%	40	22.5%	0.656

Table 6.12: Youth Violence, Perceived Reintegrative Shaming

	<i>Court</i>		<i>Conference</i>		<i>Sig</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>Value</i>	
I now feel my offence was wrong	27	59.3%	38	68.4%	0.454
My friends feel my offence was wrong	27	11.1%	38	52.6%	0.000
My family was hurt by the offense	28	67.9%	38	65.8%	0.863
I was able to make up for what I did	27	37.0%	36	58.3%	0.097
I was able to clear my conscience	27	18.5%	36	55.6%	0.002
People said it was not like me to offend	27	44.4%	36	47.2%	0.830
People said I can put the offence behind me	27	63.0%	36	69.4%	0.596
People accept me as basically law-abiding	27	63.0%	36	58.3%	0.716
People noted aspects of me they like	27	77.8%	36	38.9%	0.001
I have been treated as a trustworthy person	27	74.1%	36	75.0%	0.935
My family has given me more support	27	18.5%	35	34.3%	0.162

My friends have give me more support 27 25.9% 35 28.6% 0.821

Table 6.13: Drink Driving, Perceived Stigmatic Shaming

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
Treated like I would offend again	294	8.5%	321	7.2%	0.537
People made negative judgements about me	293	9.2%	320	8.4%	0.735
Treated as though I was a criminal	294	5.4%	321	3.1%	0.157
People important to me have rejected me	294	1.7%	321	2.2%	0.668
Treated as though I was a bad person	293	3.1%	321	2.8%	0.844
People will not let me forget what I did	297	18.2%	328	19.5%	0.672

Table 6.14: Juvenile Personal Property, Perceived Stigmatic Shaming

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
Treated like I would offend again	63	28.6%	70	30.0%	0.858
People made negative judgements about me	63	27.0%	69	40.6%	0.100
Treated as though I was a criminal	63	31.7%	70	18.6%	0.083
People important to me have rejected me	63	6.3%	70	7.1%	0.857
Treated as though I was a bad person	63	23.8%	70	17.1%	0.344
People will not let me forget what I did	67	25.4%	82	30.5%	0.493

Table 6.15: Juvenile Shoplifting, Perceived Stigmatic Shaming

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
Treated like I would offend again	42	23.8%	41	22.0%	0.843
People made negative judgements about me	42	21.4%	41	19.5%	0.831
Treated as though I was a criminal	42	31.0%	41	9.8%	0.016
People important to me have rejected me	42	4.8%	41	4.9%	0.981
Treated as though I was a bad person	42	26.2%	41	14.6%	0.196
People will not let me forget what I did	43	20.9%	43	20.9%	1.000

Table 6.16: Youth Violence, Perceived Stigmatic Shaming

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
Treated like I would offend again	27	44.4%	36	22.2%	0.070
People made negative judgements about me	27	33.3%	36	8.3%	0.021
Treated as though I was a criminal	27	29.6%	36	13.9%	0.148
People important to me have rejected me	27	11.1%	36	2.8%	0.226
Treated as though I was a bad person	27	25.9%	36	19.4%	0.548
People will not let me forget what I did	28	42.9%	38	21.1%	0.067

Table 6.17: Drink Driving, Perceived Forgiveness

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
People have indicated I was forgiven	292	48.6%	320	66.9%	0.000
People said they love me despite the offence	291	70.1%	321	76.6%	0.069
People said that I deserve a second chance	293	47.4%	318	56.6%	0.023

Table 6.18: Juvenile Personal Property, Perceived Forgiveness

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
People have indicated I was forgiven	61	65.6%	70	72.9%	0.370
People said they love me despite the offence	63	81.0%	70	81.4%	0.945
People said that I deserve a second chance	62	64.5%	70	75.7%	0.165

Table 6.19: Juvenile Shoplifting, Perceived Forgiveness

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
People have indicated I was forgiven	42	54.8%	41	87.8%	0.001
People said they love me despite the offence	42	76.2%	41	90.2%	0.088
People said that I deserve a second chance	42	66.7%	41	75.6%	0.375

Table 6.20: Youth Violence, Perceived Forgiveness

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
People have indicated I was forgiven	27	51.9%	36	63.9%	0.345
People said they love me despite the offence	27	77.8%	36	80.6%	0.792
People said that I deserve a second chance	27	51.9%	36	55.6%	0.775

Table 6.21: Drink Driving, Perceived Anger

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Feel bitter about the way I was treated	293	13.3%	322	5.9%	0.002
The treatment just made me angry	292	16.1%	321	5.6%	0.000
I wish I could get back at my accusers	291	1.0%	319	0.6%	0.581

Table 6.22: Juvenile Personal Property, Perceived Anger

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Feel bitter about the way I was treated	65	29.2%	73	16.4%	0.077
The treatment just made me angry	62	29.0%	70	20.0%	0.234
I wish I could get back at my accusers	63	12.7%	70	8.6%	0.443

Table 6.23: Juvenile Shoplifting, Perceived Anger

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Feel bitter about the way I was treated	42	9.5%	43	7.0%	0.674
The treatment just made me angry	42	21.4%	41	17.1%	0.620
I wish I could get back at my accusers	42	7.1%	41	2.4%	0.321

Table 6.24: Youth Violence, Perceived Anger

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Feel bitter about the way I was treated	27	25.9%	37	21.6%	0.694
The treatment just made me angry	27	29.6%	36	22.2%	0.512
I wish I could get back at my accusers	27	18.5%	36	5.6%	0.137

Table 6.25: Drink Driving, Perceived Defiance

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
Feel my accusers were more wrong than me	291	6.5%	320	3.8%	0.123
Feel glad I committed the offence	299	7.0%	328	7.9%	0.668
Now I feel the offence was right	298	2.0%	328	0.6%	0.128

Table 6.26: Juvenile Personal Property, Perceived Defiance

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
Feel my accusers were more wrong than me	62	21.0%	70	20.0%	0.892
Feel glad I committed the offence	69	10.1%	83	7.2%	0.525
Now I feel the offence was right	68	4.4%	83	2.4%	0.497

Table 6.27: Juvenile Shoplifting, Perceived Defiance

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
Feel my accusers were more wrong than me	42	11.9%	41	2.4%	0.097
Feel glad I committed the offence	43	2.3%	43	7.0%	0.312
Now I feel the offence was right	43	7.0%	43	0.0%	0.083

Table 6.28: Youth Violence, Perceived Defiance

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
Feel my accusers were more wrong than me	27	40.7%	36	36.1%	0.713
Feel glad I committed the offence	28	10.7%	38	5.3%	0.416
Now I feel the offence was right	27	18.5%	38	18.4%	0.992

Table 6.29: Drink Driving, Perceived Informal Control

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
Problems with family/friends if I reoffend	298	68.1%	329	76.6%	0.018
I learned there are people who cared about me	293	71.7%	321	83.5%	0.000
I am proud to be a member of my family	299	96.7%	328	96.6%	0.995
My family is closer together since treatment	293	17.4%	321	28.0%	0.002
My family loves me	299	97.0%	328	98.8%	0.124
I love my family	299	98.0%	328	98.2%	0.872
Increased respect among my family	294	23.5%	321	28.3%	0.168

Table 6.30: Juvenile Personal Property, Perceived Informal Control

	Court		Conference		Sig
	n	Value	n	Value	
Problems with family/friends if I reoffend	69	82.6%	83	75.9%	0.311
I learned there are people who cared about me	63	88.9%	70	90.0%	0.836
I am proud to be a member of my family	69	89.9%	83	81.9%	0.160
My family is closer together since treatment	65	27.7%	70	27.1%	0.944
My family loves me	69	94.2%	83	94.0%	0.953
I love my family	69	98.6%	83	96.4%	0.410

Increased respect among my family 65 47.7% 70 41.4% 0.468

Table 6.31: Juvenile Shoplifting, Perceived Informal Control

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Problems with family/friends if I reoffend	43	79.1%	43	81.4%	0.790
I learned there are people who cared about me	42	83.3%	41	90.2%	0.359
I am proud to be a member of my family	43	90.7%	43	88.4%	0.728
My family is closer together since treatment	42	21.4%	41	22.0%	0.955
My family loves me	43	95.3%	43	100.0%	0.160
I love my family	43	100.0%	43	100.0%	1.000
Increased respect among my family	42	38.1%	41	36.6%	0.889

Table 6.32: Youth Violence, Perceived Informal Control

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Problems with family/friends if I reoffend	28	57.1%	38	71.1%	0.255
I learned there are people who cared about me	27	92.6%	36	72.2%	0.030
I am proud to be a member of my family	28	78.6%	38	89.5%	0.250
My family is closer together since treatment	27	7.4%	36	22.2%	0.094
My family loves me	28	85.7%	38	89.5%	0.650
I love my family	28	92.9%	38	89.5%	0.643
Increased respect among my family	27	33.3%	36	44.4%	0.380

Table 6.33: Drink Driving, Perceived Deterrence

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Punishment would be tough if I reoffend	298	95.3%	329	95.1%	0.923
Court would be a problem if I reoffend	299	92.6%	329	97.6%	0.005
Conference would be a problem if I reoffend	298	59.4%	326	82.2%	0.000
Newspaper would be a problem if I reoffend	296	62.5%	329	71.4%	0.018
Likely to be caught if I reoffend	297	72.1%	328	78.7%	0.056

Table 6.34: Juvenile Personal Property, Perceived Deterrence

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Punishment would be tough if I reoffend	69	85.5%	83	83.1%	0.692
Court would be a problem if I reoffend	69	100.0%	83	89.2%	0.002
Conference would be a problem if I reoffend	69	60.9%	83	68.7%	0.318
Likely to be caught if I reoffend	69	52.2%	83	51.8%	0.964

Table 6.35: Juvenile Shoplifting, Perceived Deterrence

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Punishment would be tough if I reoffend	43	72.1%	43	88.4%	0.059
Court would be a problem if I reoffend	43	95.3%	43	97.7%	0.562
Conference would be a problem if I reoffend	43	60.5%	43	74.4%	0.171
Likely to be caught if I reoffend	43	55.8%	43	58.1%	0.830

Table 6.36: Youth Violence, Perceived Deterrence

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Punishment would be tough if I reoffend	28	75.0%	38	81.6%	0.526
Court would be a problem if I reoffend	28	89.3%	38	89.5%	0.981
Conference would be a problem if I reoffend	28	35.7%	38	71.1%	0.004
Likely to be caught if I reoffend	28	60.7%	38	55.3%	0.664

Table 6.37: Drink Driving, Self-Projected Compliance

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Treatment will help prevent reoffending	292	78.4%	322	87.9%	0.002
Treatment will help me to obey the law	293	80.5%	321	89.1%	0.003

Table 6.38: Juvenile Personal Property, Self-Projected Compliance

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Treatment will help prevent reoffending	63	61.9%	70	72.9%	0.182
Treatment will help me to obey the law	63	66.7%	70	68.6%	0.816

Table 6.39: Juvenile Shoplifting, Self-Projected Compliance

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Treatment will help prevent reoffending	42	66.7%	41	73.2%	0.524
Treatment will help me to obey the law	42	64.3%	41	78.0%	0.170

Table 6.40: Youth Violence, Self-Projected Compliance

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Treatment will help prevent reoffending	27	51.9%	36	58.3%	0.615
Treatment will help me to obey the law	27	48.1%	34	64.7%	0.200

Table 6.41: Drink Driving, Effect of Treatment on Family & Friends

	Court		Conference		Sig
	<i>n</i>	Value	<i>n</i>	Value	
Family more careful about drink driving	283	58.0%	311	70.4%	0.002
Friends more careful about drink driving	291	48.1%	321	71.0%	0.000
Friends warn others about drink driving	290	41.0%	320	63.4%	0.000
Friends find transportation for others	290	47.2%	321	67.9%	0.000

